# NEW EXACT SOLUTIONS OF LIOUVILLE'S EQUATIONS

### B. V. BABY

3/88, Jadkal Post Udupi District, Karnataka State India 576233 e-mail: dr.bvbaby@yahoo.co.in

#### Abstract

New exact rotational as well as translational invariant solutions of both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations are found out by the method of Lie point group similarity transformation. Solutions are compared with earlier studied general solutions.

### 1. Introduction

Liouville's equation [1, 2] is a well-studied second order nonlinear partial differential equation that appears in many fields of Mathematics and Physics. Liouville's equation describes the structure of metrics with constant Gaussian curvature which are conformal to the restrictions of

Received August 16, 2021

© 2021 Fundamental Research and Development International

Keywords and phrases: Liouville's equation, parabolic, hyperbolic, similarity transformation, Gaussian curvature, Euclidian metric, electroweak theory, Backlund transformations, Lax pairs, integrable, rotational, translational, invariant, Lie group, generator.

#### B. V. BABY

the Euclidean metric to a two dimensional surface. In Theoretical Physics [3-10], this equation appears in the context of mean field vorticity in steady flow also as Chern-Simons in super conductivity and in Electroweak theory [11-14]. Backlund and auto-Backlund transformation, Lax Pairs are reported [2, 10, 11] for both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations. Even then both Liouville's equations are not completely integrable systems.

In this study, Lie group similarity transformation method [16] is used to find exact solutions of both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations and compared with a well-known general solution. This author already reported exact solutions of few non-linear partial differential equations by using Lie group similarity transformation [17-20].

# 2. Lie Group Similarity Transformation Method of Partial Differential Equation

Essential details of the Lie continuous point group similarity transformation method to reduce the number of independent variables of a partial differential equation (PDE) so as to obtain respective ordinary differential equation (ODE) [13] is the following. Let the given PDE in two independent variables x and t and one dependent variable u be

$$F(x, t, u, u_t, u_x, u_{tt}, u_{xx}, ...) = 0, (2.1)$$

where  $u_t$ ,  $u_x$ , ... are all partial derivatives of dependent variables u(x, t)with respect to the independent variable t and x, respectively.

When we apply a family of one parameter infinitesimal continuous point group transformations

$$x = x + \varepsilon X(x, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.2)$$

$$t = t + \varepsilon T(x, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.3)$$

50

$$u = u + \varepsilon U(x, t, u) + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.4)$$

we get the infinitesimals of the variables u, t and x as U, T, X, respectively and  $\varepsilon$  is an infinitesimal parameter. The derivatives of u are also transformed as

$$u_x = u_x + \varepsilon [U_x] + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.5)$$

$$u_{xx} = u_{xx} + \varepsilon [U_{xx}] + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.6)$$

$$u_{tt} = u_{tt} + \varepsilon [U_{tt}] + O(\varepsilon^2), \qquad (2.7)$$

where  $[U_x]$ ,  $[U_{xx}]$ ,  $[U_{tt}]$  are the infinitesimals of the derivatives  $u_x$ ,  $u_{xx}$ ,  $u_{tt}$ , respectively. These are called first and second extensions and that are given by [16]

$$[U_{x}] = U_{x} + (U|u - X_{x})u_{x} - X_{u}u_{x}^{2} - T_{x}u_{t} - T_{x}u_{x}u_{t},$$

$$[U_{xx}] = U_{xx} + (2U_{xu} - X_{xx})u_{x} + (U|uu - 2X_{xu})u_{x}^{2} - X_{uu}u_{x}^{3}$$

$$+ U_{u} - 2X_{x}u_{xx} - 3X_{u}u_{x}u_{xx} - T_{xx}u_{t} - 2T_{xu}u_{x}u_{t} - T_{uu}u_{x}^{2}u_{t}$$

$$-2T_{x}u_{xt} - T_{u}u_{xx}u_{t} - 2T_{u}u_{xt}u_{x},$$

$$(2.8)$$

$$[U_{tt}] = U_{tt} + [2U_{tu} - T_{tt}]u_t - X_{tt}u_x + [U_{uu} - 2T_{uu}]u_t^2$$
$$- 2X_{tu}u_xu_t - T_{uu}u_t^3 - X_{uu}u_t^2u_x + [U_u - 2T_t]u_{tt} - 2X_tu_{xt}$$
$$- 3T_uu_{tt}u_t - X_uu_{tt}u_x - 3X_uu_{xt}u_t.$$
(2.10)

The invariant requirements of given PDE (2.1) under the set of above transformations lead to the invariant surface conditions

$$T\frac{\partial F}{\partial t} + X\frac{\partial F}{\partial x} + U\frac{\partial F}{\partial u} + [U_x]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_x} + [U_{tt}]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{tt}} + [U_{xx}]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{xx}} = 0. \quad (2.11)$$

#### B. V. BABY

On solving above invariant surface condition (2.11), the infinitesimals X, T, U can be uniquely obtained, that give the similarity group under which the given PDE (2.1) is invariant. This gives

$$T\frac{du}{dt} + X\frac{du}{dx} - \frac{du}{dU} = 0.$$
(2.12)

The solution of (2.12) are obtained by Langrange's condition

$$\frac{dT}{T} = \frac{dx}{X} = \frac{du}{U}.$$
(2.13)

This yields

$$x = x(t, C_1, C_2)$$
 and  $u = u(t, C_1, C_2)$ , (2.14)

where  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  are arbitrary integration constants and the constant  $C_1$  plays the role of an independent variable called the similarity variable S and  $C_2$  that of a dependent variable called the similarity solution u(S) such that exact solution of given PDE, so that

$$u(X, t) = u(S).$$
 (2.15)

On substituting (2.15) in given PDE (2.1) gives an ordinary differential equation with S as independent variable and u(S) as dependent variable.

# 3. Similarity Transformatin of Parabolic Liouville's Equation

Here we apply the similarity method to find exact solutions of the parabolic Liouville's equations [1, 2]

$$u_{tt} + u_{xx} = A \exp(u).$$
 (3.1)

So general form of (3.1) is

$$F(u, u_{xx}, u_{tt}, x, t) = 0. (3.2)$$

The invariant surface condition (2.11) gives

$$[U_{xx}]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{xx}} + [U_{tt}]\frac{\partial F}{\partial u_{tt}} - U\frac{\partial A\exp(u)}{\partial u} = 0.$$
(3.3)

On substituting the expansions of  $[U_{xx}]$ ,  $[U_{tt}]$ , and equating coefficients of different orders of derivatives of u(x, t), we get the constrained equations as

$$U_{tt} + U_{xx} - UA \exp(u) = 0, T_t = T_u = U = 0,$$
  

$$X_{xx} - 2U_{xu} - X_{tt} = 0, 2U_{tu} - T_{tt} + T_{xt} = 0, X_x X_u = 0,$$
  

$$T_x - X_t = 0, T_{xu} - X_{tu} = 0, X_x = X_u = 0.$$

(3.4)

On solving above set of constraints, we get

$$X = ct + w,$$
  

$$T = -cx + k,$$
  

$$U = 0.$$
(3.5)

The Lagrange's condition (2.13) gives the similarity variable p(x, t) as

$$p(x, t) = \left\{ -\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)(x^2 + t^2) + (kx - wt) - (k^2 + w^2)/2c \right\}.$$
 (3.6)

Then the similarity solution of the hyperbolic Liouville's equation (3.1) is

$$u(x, t) = u(p).$$
 (3.7)

On substituting (3.6) in (3.1) the parabolic Liouville's equation reduces to an ordinary second order differential equation

$$p\frac{d^{2}u(p)}{dp^{2}} + \frac{du(p)}{dp} = -\frac{A}{2c}\exp(u).$$
(3.8)

On solving (3.8), we get

$$\exp[u(p)] = \{ (2Bp^{n-1})/(B + Ap^n)^2 \}.$$
(3.9)

The arbitrary constants B, c, and k, w are non-zero and c = -1/(nA). The above solution is valid for all values of n except n is zero.

On substituting the value of the similarity variable p(x, t) from (3.6), we get exact solution of parabolic Liouville's equation (3.1).

# 4. Similarity Transformation and Exact Solution of Hyperbolic Liouville's Equation

Similarity transformation of hyperbolic part of Liouville's equation

$$u_{tt} - u_{xx} = A \exp(u). \tag{4.1}$$

As in the previous case, we get the infinitesimals

$$T = -cx + k,$$
  

$$X = ct + w,$$
  

$$U = 0.$$
(4.2)

For U = 0, the method of finding above infinitesimals are same for all hyperbolic Klein-Gordon equations, that this author already reported in an earlier study [20].

Similarity variable of hyperbolic Liouville's h(x, t) is

$$h(x, t) = \left\{ \left(\frac{c}{2}\right) (x^2 - t^2) + (kx - wt) + (k^2 - w^2) 2c \right\}$$
(4.3)

and the similarity reduced hyperbolic Liouville's second order ordinary

differential equation can be found out by substituting u(x, t) = u(h), then

$$h\frac{d^2u(h)}{dh^2} + \frac{du(h)}{dh} = -\left(\frac{A}{2c}\right)\exp\left(u\right). \tag{4.4}$$

Then the exact solution of hyperbolic Liouville's equation is the solution of above equation as

$$\exp[u(x, t)] = \{ (2Bh^{n-1}) / (B + Ah^n)^2 \},$$
(4.5)

where h(x, t) is the similarity variable (3.3). Parameters A, B and n are arbitrary constants and B and c, k and w are nonzero, where c = -1/(An).

### 5. Discussion

Corresponding to the hyperbolic Liouville's equation generator of infinitesimals are the following

$$H_{1} = x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

$$H_{2} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x},$$

$$H_{3} = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$
(5.1)

They obey the Lie algebra

$$[H_1, H_2] = -H_3,$$
  

$$[H_3, H_1] = H_2,$$
  

$$[H_2, H_3] = 0.$$
(5.2)

These three Lie group generators produce two different types of exact solutions of both Liouville's equations. The generator  $H_1$  represents

hyperbolic rotationally invariant solutions with respect to the infinitesimals

$$X = ct,$$
  

$$T = cx,$$
  

$$U = 0$$
(5.3)

for which all the above solutions are valid with k = 0 and w = 0. That very rarely mentioned in other studies.

For the generator  $H_2$  and  $H_3$ , we get translationally invariant solutions of hyperbolic Liouville's equation corresponding to the infinitesimals

$$T = k,$$

$$X = w,$$

$$U = 0.$$
(5.4)

For which the similarity variable is  $h_1(x, t)$ ,

$$h_1(x, t) = (kx - wt).$$
 (5.5)

Similarly, for parabolic Liouville's equation, there are three Lie group generators  $P_1, P_2, P_3$ .

$$P_1 = -x \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + t \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \qquad (5.6)$$

$$P_2 = \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \tag{5.7}$$

$$P_3 = \frac{\partial}{\partial t}.$$
(5.8)

Respective Lie algebras are

$$[P_1, P_2] = P_3, (5.9)$$

$$[P_1, P_3] = P_2, (5.10)$$

$$[P_2, P_3] = 0. (5.11)$$

As in the hyperbolic case,  $P_1$  represents pure rotationally invariant solutions of Parabolic Liouville's equation, for which k = 0, w = 0. Since c = 1/2nA and c is nonzero, exclusively translational invariant solution is not possible. But the translationally invariant solution exists only along with rotationally invariant solution.

Solutions of both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations can be extended to (3 + 1) dimensions. For which the similarity variables are

$$h_{1} = \{ + (\frac{c}{2})(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} - t^{2}) + (k_{1}x - w_{1}t) + (k_{2}x - w_{2}t) + (k_{3}x - w_{3}t) + [(k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + k_{3}^{2}) - (w_{1}^{2} + w_{2}^{2} + w_{3}^{2})]/(2c) \}.$$
(5.12)

For parabolic similarity variable in (3 + 1) dimensions is

$$p_{1} = \{ -\left(\frac{c}{2}\right)(x^{2} + y^{2} + z^{2} + t^{2}) + (k_{1}x - w_{1}t) + (k_{2}y - w_{2}t) + (k_{3}z - w_{3}t) + [(k_{1}^{2} + k_{2}^{2} + k_{3}^{2}) + (w_{1}^{2} + w_{2}^{2} + w_{3}^{2})]/(2c) \}.$$
(5.13)

Backlund as well as auto-Backlund transformations and Inverse Scattering Transformation are known for hyperbolic Liouville's equation, but not completely integrable system. So, the above solutions are not stable like solitons. Due to the rotational symmetry, these solutions may not have multi solutions like multi solitons.

#### B. V. BABY

The general solutions of hyperbolic Liouville's equation reported [7, 11] is

$$\exp[u(x, t)] = [2f(x).g(t)]/[f(x) + g(t) + z_0]^2.$$
(5.14)

Obviously, our solutions are different from this class of general solutions naturally arisen from invariant symmetry.

All even and positive values of n the denominator of solutions both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations are always nonzero when B is positive valued integration constant. Such case solutions are nonsingular. Whereas, when n is odd and positive valued then the denominator may be zero and that yields singular solutions for both parabolic and hyperbolic Liouville's equations.

It is found that similarity Lie point group transformation method is a powerful tool for solving nonlinear PDE by converting to ODE. But this method works only when given PDE is invariant under some similarity group of transformation, that need not satisfy always.

#### References

- [1] J. Liouville, J. Math. 28(1) (1853), 71.
- [2] J. Liouville, J. Math. Pure. Appl. 18 (1853), 71.
- [3] A. R. Forsyth, Theory of Differential Equations, Vol. 6, 44, Dover Pub., New York, 1959.
- [4] J. T. Stuart, J. Fluid Mech. 29 (1967), 417.
- [5] P. A. Clarkson and M. D. Kruskal, J. Math. Phys. 30 (1989), 2201.
- [6] K. M. Tamizhamani and M. Lakshmanan, J. Math. Phys. 27 (1986), 2257.
- [7] O. P. Bhutani and K. Vijayakumar, J. Austro. Soc. Ser. B 32 (1991), 457.
- [8] O. P. Bhutani and K. Vijayakumar, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 30(8) (1992), 1049.
- [9] Darren. G. Crowdy, Int. J. Eng. Sci. 35(2) (1997), 141.
- [10] M. Tsutsumi, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 76 (1980), 116.
- [11] R. Albesiano, Paolo Ciatto and Andrea Malchiodi, Galilean pdf of Uni. Deg. Studi di

Padova, 2019.

- [12] E. Caglioti, P. L. Lions, C. Marchioro and M. Pulvirenti, Comm. Math. Phys. 174 (1995), 229.
- [13] G. Tarantello, Progress in Nonliear Differential Equations and Their Applications, 72, Birkhauser Pub., 2008.
- [14] Y. Yang, Solitons in Field Theory and Nonliear Analysis, Monographs in Mathematics, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2001.
- [15] G. L. Lamb Jr., J. Math. Phys. 15 (1974), 2157.
- [16] G. M. Bluman and J. D. Cole, Similarity Methods for Differential Equations, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1974.
- [17] B. V. Baby, Ph.D. Thesis, Cochin University of Science and Technology, 1985.
- [18] K. Babu Joseph and B. V. Baby, J. Math. Phys. 26 (1985), 2746.
- [19] N. Nirmala, M. J. Vedan and B. V. Baby, J. Math. Phys. 27 (1986), 2644.
- [20] B.V. Baby, Fundamental J. Math. Phys. 9 (2021), 1.