Fundamental Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences

p-ISSN: 2395-7573; e-ISSN: 2395-7581 Volume 15, Issue 2, 2021, Pages 39-69

This paper is available online at http://www.frdint.com/

Published online January 9, 2022

COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREMS IN C-COMPLETE COMPLEX VALUED METRIC SPACES FOR RATIONAL CONTRACTION

SURENDRA KUMAR TIWARI* and MRIDUSMITA GAURATRA

Department of Mathematics Dr. C. V. Raman University Bilaspur India e-mail: sk10tiwari@gmail.com

mgauratra@gmail.com

Abstract

The aim of this manuscript is to establish common fixed point results satisfying rational type contraction conditions in the setting of *C*-complete complex valued metric space. Also we use weakly compatible maps, weakly compatible along with (CLR) and (E.A.) properties that generalizes the existing results. Also the results proved herein are the generalization and extension of some well known results in the existing literature [7, 8, 9].

Keywords and phrases: fixed point theorems, metric spaces, rational contraction, complex valued metric space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

*Corresponding author

Received October 9, 2021; Accepted December 29, 2021

© 2021 Fundamental Research and Development International

1. Introduction

In 2011, Azam et al. [1] introduced the notion of complex valued metric space which is the generalization of the classical metric space and obtained sufficient conditions for the existence of common fixed points of a pair of contractive type mappings involving rational expressions.

Afterwards several authors have dealt and studied with fixed points and common fixed point theory in complex valued metric space (see for instance [2-6, 9]).

Recently, Sintunavarat et al. [7] introduced the concept of a *C*-Cauchy sequence in *C*-complete complex valued metric space and established the existence of common fixed point theorem in *C*-complete complex valued metric spaces.

In 2016, Kumar et al. [8] proved common fixed point theorems for weakly compatible maps, weakly compatible along with (CLR) and (E.A.) properties in *C*-complete complex valued metric spaces.

Very recently, Dubey et al. [9] established common fixed point theorems for a pair of mappings satisfying rational inequality in *C*-complete complex valued metric spaces.

So, in this paper, we shall establish and prove some common fixed point results for mappings satisfying rational type contractive condition in which the constant has been replaced by control function, in the framework of *C*-complete complex valued metric space. Our results unify, generalize and compliment the comparable results from the current literature [7-8].

2. Preliminary

The following definitions and results will be needed in the sequel.

Let $\mathbb C$ be the set of complex number and $z_1, z_2 \in \mathbb C$. Define a partial order \preceq on $\mathbb C$ as follows: $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if and only if $Re(z_1) \leq Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) \leq Im(z_2)$, that is, $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if one of the following hold:

$$(C_1)$$
 $Re(z_1) = Re(z_2)$ and $Im(z_1) = Im(z_2)$,

 $(C_2) Re(z_1) < Re(z_2) \text{ and } Im(z_1) = Im(z_2),$

$$(C_3) Re(z_1) = Re(z_2) \text{ and } Im(z_1) < Im(z_2),$$

$$(C_4) Re(z_1) < Re(z_2) \text{ and } Im(z_1) < Im(z_2).$$

Particularly, we write $z_1 \preceq z_2$ if $z_1 \neq z_2$ and one of (C_2) , (C_3) and (C_4) is satisfied and we will write $z_1 \prec z_2$ if only (C_4) is satisfied.

Remark 2.1. We denote that following statements hold:

(i) $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a \le b \Rightarrow az \le bz, \forall z \in \mathbb{C}$.

(ii)
$$0 \preceq z_1 \preceq z_2 \Rightarrow |z_1| < |z_2|$$
.

(iii)
$$z_1 \leq z_2$$
 and $z_2 < z_3 \Rightarrow z_1 < z_3$.

Definition 2.2 [1]. Let X be a non empty set and let the mapping $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ satisfies the following conditions:

$$(d_1) \ 0 \le d(x, y) \text{ for all } x, y \in X;$$

$$(d_2)$$
 $d(x, y) = 0$ iff $x = y, \forall x, y \in X$;

$$(d_3)$$
 $d(x, y) = d(y, x)$ for all $x, y \in X$;

$$(d_4)$$
 $d(x, y) \prec d(x, z) + d(z, y) \forall x, y, z \in X.$

Then d is called a complex valued metric on X and the pair (X, d) is called a complex valued metric space.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \mathbb{C}$. Define the mapping $d: X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ by

$$d(z_1, z_2) = |x_1 - x_2| + i|y_1 - y_2|,$$

where $z_1 = x_1 + iy_1$ and $z_2 = x_2 + iy_2$.

Then (X, d) is a complex valued metric space.

Definition 2.4 [1]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space. Then

(1) A point $x \in X$ is called an interior point of a set $A \subseteq X$ whenever there exists $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$ such that

$$\beta(x, r) = \{ y \in X : d(x, y) < r \} \subseteq A.$$

(2) A point $x \in X$ is called a limit point of A whenever for all $0 \prec r \in \mathbb{C}$,

$$B(x, r) \cap (A - \{x\}) \neq \emptyset$$
.

- (3) A set $A \subseteq X$ is called an open set whenever each element of A is an interior point of A.
- (4) A set $A \subseteq X$ is called closed set whenever each limit point of A belongs to A.
 - (5) A sub-basis for a Hausdorff topology τ on X is the family

$$F\{B(x, r): x \in X \text{ and } 0 \prec r\}.$$

Definition 2.5 [1]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space, $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X and let $x \in X$.

(1) If for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > N, $d(x_n, x) \prec c$. Then $\{x_n\}$ is said to be convergent to a point $x \in X$ and x is the limit point of $\{x_n\}$. We denote this by

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} x_n = x \text{ or } x_n \to x \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

- (2) If for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$, there exists $N \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all n > N, $d(x_n, x_{n+m}) \prec c$, where $m \in \mathbb{N}$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called Cauchy sequence in X.
- (3) If every Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then (X, d) is said to be complete complex valued metric space.

Lemma 2.6 [1]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a

sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ converges to x if and only if $|d(x_n, x)| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Lemma 2.7 [1]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X. Then $\{x_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence if and only if $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$ where $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

Further, Sintunavarat et al. [7], introduced the notation of a *C*-Cauchy sequence in *C*-complete complex valued metric space as follows:

Definition 2.8 [7]. Let (X, d) be a complex valued metric space and $\{x_n\}$ be a sequence in X as $x \in X$.

- (i) If for any $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$, there exits $k \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for all m, n > k, $|d(x_n, x_{n+m})| \prec c$, then $\{x_n\}$ is called a C-Cauchy sequence in X.
- (ii) If every C-Cauchy sequence in X is convergent, then (X, d) is said to be a C-complete complex valued metric space.

And he also proved the following fixed point result:

Theorem 2.9 [7]. Let S and T be self-mappings of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d). If there exist mappings $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$:

- (a) $\alpha(x) + \beta(x) < 1$,
- (b) The mapping $\gamma: \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0,1)$ defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{1 - \beta(x)} \in \Gamma.$$

(c)
$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y) + \beta(d(x, y))\frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$
.

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

After that, in 2018, Dubey et al. [9], proved the following fixed point results:

Theorem 2.10 [9]. Let S and T be self-mappings of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d). If there exist mappings $\alpha, \beta, \gamma : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$:

(i)
$$\alpha(x) + \beta(x) + \gamma(x) < 1$$
,

(ii) The mapping $\,\mu:\mathbb{C}_+\!\times\!\mathbb{C}_+\to[0,1)$ defined by

$$\mu(x, y) = \frac{\alpha(x, y)}{1 - \beta(x, y)} \in \Gamma.$$

(iii)
$$d(Sx, Ty) \leq \alpha(x, y)d(x, y) + \beta(x, y) \frac{d(x, Tx)[1 + d(x, Sx)]}{1 + d(x, y)}$$

$$+ \gamma(x, y) \frac{d(y, Sx)[1 + d(x, Ty)]}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

3. Main Results

Throughout this paper, \mathbb{R} denotes a set of real numbers, \mathbb{C}_+ denotes a set $\{c \in \mathbb{C}_+ : 0 \preceq c\}$ and Γ denotes the class of functions $\mu : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ which satisfies the condition:

for
$$(x_n, y_n)$$
 in $\mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+$,

$$\mu(x_n, y_n) \to 1 \Rightarrow (x_n, y_n) \to 0.$$

We extend and generalize of the results [7, 8, 9] and obtain common fixed point theorem for rational expression in *C*-complete complex valued metric space.

Theorem 3.1. Let K and L be self-mappings of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d). If there exist mappings $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ such that

$$\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_2(x, y) + \lambda_3(x, y) + \lambda_4(x, y) + \lambda_5(x, y) < 1.$$
 (3.1)

(3.2)

(3.3)

The mapping $\mu: \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ defined by

 $\mu(x, y) = \frac{\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_4(x, y)}{1 - \lambda_2(x, y) - \lambda_4(x, y)} \in \Gamma.$

$$d(Kx, Ly) \lesssim \lambda_{1}(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_{2}(x, y)\frac{d(x, Kx)d(y, Ly)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{3}(x, y)\frac{d(x, Lx)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{4}(x, y)\frac{d(x, Kx)d(x, Ky)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{5}(x, y)\frac{d(y, Ly)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(3.3)

Then K and L have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in X$ be an arbitrary point in X. We construct the sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$x_{2l+1} = Kx_{2l},$$

 $x_{2l+2} = Lx_{2l+1}, \text{ for all } l > 0.$ (3.4)

For $l \ge 0$, we get

$$= \lambda_{1}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) + \lambda_{2}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+2})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) + \lambda_{2}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})}$$

$$\leq \lambda_{1}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) + \lambda_{2}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) + d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})\{d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) + d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})\},$$

which implies that

$$d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2}) \prec \mu(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}), \tag{3.5}$$

where
$$\mu = \frac{\lambda_1(x, y) + \lambda_4(x, y)}{1 - \lambda_2(x, y) - \lambda_4(x, y)}$$
.

Similarly, for $l \ge 0$, we get

$$d(x_{2l+2}, x_{2l+3}) \prec \mu(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2})d(x_{2l+1}, x_{2l+2}).$$
 (3.6)

From (3.5) and (3.6), we get

$$d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1}) \lesssim \mu(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})$$
, for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Therefore, we get

$$|d(x_{2l}, x_{2l+1})| \le \mu(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l}) |d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})|$$

$$\leq |d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})| \text{ for all } l \in \mathbb{N}.$$
(3.7)

 \Rightarrow The sequence $\{|d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})|\}_{l \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a monotone non-increasing and bounded below, therefore,

$$|d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})| \rightarrow \delta$$
, for some $\delta \ge 0$.

Next we claim that $\delta = 0$. Assume contrary that $\delta > 0$.

Proceeding limit as $l \to \infty$, we have from (3.7), $\mu(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l}) \to 1$.

Since $\mu \in \Gamma$, so, we get $(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l}) \to 0$, that is, $|d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})| \to 0$, which is contradiction. Therefore, we have $\delta = 0$, i.e.,

$$|d(x_{2l-1}, x_{2l})| \to 0.$$
 (3.8)

Next, we show that $\{x_{2l}\}$ is *C*-Cauchy sequence. According to (3.8), it is sufficient to prove that the subsequence $\{x_{2l}\}$ is a *C*-Cauchy sequence. Let, if possible, $\{x_{2l}\}$ is not a *C*-Cauchy sequence. So, there is $c \in \mathbb{C}$ with $0 \prec c$, for which for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there exists $m(k) > l(k) \ge k$, such that

$$d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \preceq c. \tag{3.9}$$

Further, corresponding to l(k), we can choose m(k) in such a way that it is the smallest integer with $m(k) > l(k) \ge k$ satisfying (3.9). Then, we have

$$d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \lesssim c, \tag{3.10}$$

and

$$d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)-2}) \prec c.$$
 (3.11)

From (3.10) and (3.11), we have

$$c \preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)})$$

 $\preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)-2}) + d(x_{2m(k)-2}, x_{2m(k)-1}) + d(x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})$

$$\prec c + d(x_{2m(k)-2}, x_{2m(k)-1}) + d(x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)}).$$

This implies that

$$|c| \le |d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)})|$$

 $\le |c| + d|(x_{2m(k)-2}, x_{2m(k)-1})| + d|(x_{2m(k)-1}, x_{2m(k)})|.$

On taking limit $k \to \infty$, we get

$$\left| d\left(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)}\right) \right| \to |c|. \tag{3.12}$$

Further, we have

$$d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) \preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) + d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)})$$

$$\preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)}) + d(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$+ d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)})$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)})| \leq |d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)})|$$

$$+ |d(x_{2m(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})| + |d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)})|.$$

Letting, $k \to \infty$ and using (3.8) and (3.12), we get

$$|d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})| \to |c|.$$
 (3.13)

Now

$$d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$\preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) + d(x_{2l(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2}) + d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$= d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) + d(Kx_{2l(k)}, Lx_{2m(k)+1}) + d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$\preceq d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) + \lambda_1(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$+ \lambda_{2}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, Kx_{2l(k)})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, Lx_{2m(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, Lx_{2m(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, Kx_{2l(k)})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, Kx_{2l(k)})d(x_{2l(k)}, Lx_{2m(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, Lx_{2m(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, Kx_{2l(k)})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$+ d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) + \lambda_{1}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})$$

$$+ \lambda_{2}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})}$$

$$+ d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1}).$$

Implies that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right| \\ \leq & \left| d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) \right| + \lambda_1(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \left| d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right| \\ & + \lambda_2(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right| \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right| + \left| d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right|$$

$$\leq \left| d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1}) \right| + \left| \mu(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right| d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2l(k)+1})d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+2})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

$$+ \left| \frac{d(x_{2m(k)+2}, x_{2m(k)+2})d(x_{2m(k)+1}, x_{2l(k)+1})}{1 + d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1})} \right|$$

Taking limit as $k \to \infty$, we get

$$|c| \le \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) |c| \le |c|$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) = 1.$$

Since $\mu \in \Gamma$, we get $(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \to 0$, i.e., $d(x_{2l(k)}, x_{2m(k)+1}) \to 0$ which contradicts $0 \prec c$. Therefore we can conclude that $\{x_{2l}\}$ is *C*-Cauchy and hence $\{x_l\}$ is *C*-Cauchy sequence in *X* and *X* is complete, so there exists a point *u* in *K* such that $x_l \to u$ as $l \to \infty$.

Next we prove that Ku = u. If $Ku \neq u$, then d(Ku, u) > 0.

Now

$$\begin{split} d(Ku,u) & \lesssim \ d(u,\,x_{2l+2}) + d(x_{2l+2},\,Ku) \\ &= d(u,\,x_{2l+2}) + d(Lx_{2l+1},\,Ku) \\ &= d(u,\,x_{2l+2}) + d(Su,\,Lx_{2l+1}) \\ & \lesssim \ d(x_{2l+2},\,u) + \lambda_1(u,\,x_{2l+1}) d(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \\ & + \lambda_2(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,Ku) d(x_{2l+1},\,Lx_{2l+1})}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_3(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,Lx_{2l+1}) d(x_{2l+1},\,Ku)}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_4(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,Ku) d(u,\,Lx_{2l+1})}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_5(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l+1},\,Lx_{2l+1}) d(x_{2l+1},\,Ku)}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & = d(x_{2l+2},\,u) + \lambda_1(u,\,x_{2l+1}) d(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \\ & + \lambda_2(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,Ku) d(x_{2l+1},\,x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_3(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,x_{2l+2}) d(x_{2l+1},\,Ku)}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_4(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(u,\,Ku) d(u,\,x_{2l+2})}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})} \\ & + \lambda_5(u,\,x_{2l+1}) \frac{d(x_{2l+1},\,x_{2l+2}) d(x_{2l+1},\,Ku)}{1 + d(u,\,x_{2l+1})}. \end{split}$$

Letting $l \to \infty$, we get

$$d(u, Ku) \preceq d(u, u) + \lambda_1(u, u)d(u, u) + \lambda_2d(u, u)\frac{d(u, Ku)d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

$$+ \lambda_3 d(u, u) \frac{d(u, u)d(u, Ku)}{1 + d(u, u)} + \lambda_4 d(u, u) \frac{d(u, Ku)d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

$$+ \lambda_5 d(u, u) \frac{d(u, u)d(u, Ku)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

 $\Rightarrow |d(u, Ku)| = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Thus, we get Ku = u.

Similarly, we get Lu = u.

Therefore, u = Ku = Lu, that is, u is common fixed point of K and L.

Finally, we show that, u is the unique common fixed point of K and L. Assume that there exists another point v such that v = Kv = Lv.

From (3.1), we have

which is contradiction and hence u = v.

Therefore, u is a unique common fixed point of K and L.

Corollary 3.2. Let S and T be self-mappings of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the following:

$$d(Kx, Ly) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Kx)d(y, Ly)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \gamma \frac{d(x, Ly)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu \frac{d(x, Kx)d(x, Ly)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \delta \frac{d(y, Ly)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(3.14)

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By putting $\lambda_1(x, y) = \alpha$, $\lambda_2(x, y) = \beta$, $\lambda_3(x, y) = \gamma$, $\lambda_4(x, y) = \mu$, $\lambda_5(x, y) = \delta$ in Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.3. Let K be self-mapping of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d), if there exist mappings $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and the following:

$$d(Kx, Ky) \lesssim \lambda_{1}(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_{2} \frac{d(x, Kx)d(y, Ky)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{3} \frac{d(x, Ky)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{4} \frac{d(x, Kx)d(x, Ky)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{5} \frac{d(y, Ky)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
(3.15)

Then K has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. By putting K = L in Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.4. Let K be self-mapping of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the following:

$$d(Kx, Ky) \lesssim \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Kx)d(y, Ky)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \gamma \frac{d(x, Ky)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu \frac{d(x, Kx)d(x, Ky)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \delta \frac{d(y, Ky)d(y, Kx)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$
(3.16)

for all $x, y \in X$. Then K has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. By putting $\lambda_1(x, y) = \alpha$, $\lambda_2(x, y) = \beta$, $\lambda_3(x, y) = \lambda$, $\lambda_4(x, y) = \mu$, $\lambda_5(x, y) = \delta$ in Corollary 3.3, we get the required result.

Theorem 3.5. Let K be a self-map of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d), if there exist mappings $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and the following:

$$d(K^{n}x, K^{n}y) \preceq \lambda_{1}(x, y)d(x, y) + \lambda_{2} \frac{d(x, K^{n}x)d(y, K^{n}y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{3} \frac{d(x, K^{n}y)d(y, K^{n}x)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{4} \frac{d(x, K^{n}x)d(x, K^{n}y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \lambda_{5} \frac{d(y, K^{n}y)d(y, K^{n}x)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$

$$(3.17)$$

for all $x, y \in X$ and some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then K has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. From Corollary 3.3, K^n has a fixed point u. But K^n has a fixed point Ku, since $K^n(Ku) = K(K^nu) = Ku$. Therefore, Ku = u by the uniqueness of a fixed point K^n . Therefore, u is also a fixed point of K. Since the fixed point of K is also a fixed point of K^n , the fixed point of K is also unique.

Corollary 3.6. Let K be self-mapping of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the following:

$$d(K^{n}x, K^{n}y) \preceq \alpha d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, K^{n}x)d(y, K^{n}y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \gamma \frac{d(x, K^{n}y)d(y, K^{n}x)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \mu \frac{d(x, K^{n}x)d(x, K^{n}y)}{1 + d(x, y)} + \delta \frac{d(y, K^{n}y)d(y, K^{n}x)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \quad (3.18)$$

where α , β , γ , μ , δ are non-negative reals with $\alpha + \beta + \gamma + \mu + \delta < 1$. Then K has a unique fixed in X.

Proof. By putting $\lambda_1(x, y) = \alpha$, $\lambda_2(x, y) = \beta$, $\lambda_3(x, y) = \gamma$, $\lambda_4(x, y) = \mu$,

 $\lambda_5(x, y) = \delta$ in Theorem 3.5, we get the required result.

Now, we deduce the main results of [7] as follows.

Theorem 3.7 [7, Theorem 3.2]. Let (X, d) be a C-complete complex valued metric space. If there exist two mappings $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ such that for all $x, y \in X$

- (a) $\alpha(x) + \beta(x) < 1$;
- (b) The mapping $\gamma: \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0,1)$ defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{1 - \beta(x)} \in \Gamma;$$

(c)

$$d(Sx, Ty) \preceq \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
 (3.19)

Then S and T have a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Define $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ by $\lambda_1(x, y) = \alpha(d(x, y)), \lambda_2(x, y) = \beta(d(x, y)), \lambda_3(x, y) = 0, \lambda_4(x, y) = 0, \lambda_5(x, y) = 0$ and K = S, L = T in Theorem 3.1, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.8 [7, Corollary 3.3]. Let S and T be self-mappings of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the following:

$$d(Sx, Ty) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \mu \frac{d(x, Sx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \tag{3.20}$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ , μ are non-negative reals with $\lambda + \mu < 1$. Then S and T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. By putting $\alpha(d(x, y)) = \lambda$ and $\beta(d(x, y)) = \mu$ in Theorem 3.7, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.9 [7, Corollary 3.4]. Let (X, d) be a C-complete complex valued metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping. If there exist two mappings $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$, such that for all $x, y \in X$

- (a) $\alpha(x) + \beta(x) < 1$;
- (b) The mapping $\gamma: \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0,1)$ defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{1 - \beta(x)} \in \Gamma,$$

(c)

$$d(Tx, Ty) \preceq \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}.$$
 (3.21)

Then T has a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. By putting S = T in Theorem 3.7, we get the required result.

Corollary 3.10 [7, Corollary 3.5]. Let (X, d) be a C-complete complex valued metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping. If T satisfies

$$d(Tx, Ty) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \mu \frac{d(x, Tx)d(y, Ty)}{1 + d(x, y)}, \qquad (3.22)$$

for all $x, y \in X$, where λ, μ are non-negative reals with $\lambda + \mu < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. By putting $\alpha d(x, y) = \lambda$ and $\beta d(x, y) = \mu$ in Corollary 3.9, we get the required result.

Theorem 3.11 [7, Corollary 3.6]. Let (X, d) be a C-complete complex valued metric space and $T: X \to X$ be a mapping. If there exist two mappings $\alpha, \beta: \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$, such that, for all $x, y \in X$

(a)
$$\alpha(x) + \beta(x) < 1$$
;

(b) The mappings $\alpha, \beta : \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ defined by

$$\gamma(x) = \frac{\alpha(x)}{1 - \beta(x)} \in \Gamma;$$

(c)

$$d(T^{n}x, T^{n}y) \lesssim \alpha(d(x, y))d(x, y) + \beta \frac{d(x, T^{n}x)d(y, T^{n}y)}{1 + d(x, y)}$$
(3.23)

for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then T has a unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. From Corollary 3.9, we get T^n has a fixed point u. Since $T^n(Tu) = T(T^n u) = Tu$, we get Tu is a fixed point of T^n . Therefore, Tu = u by the uniqueness of a fixed point T^n . Since the fixed point of T is also fixed point of T^n , then we get that fixed point of T is also unique.

Corollary 3.12 [7, Corollary 3.7]. Let T be a self-mapping of a C-complete complex valued metric space (X, d) satisfying the following:

$$d(T^n x, T^n y) \lesssim \lambda d(x, y) + \mu \frac{d(x, T^n x)d(y, T^n y)}{1 + d(x, y)},$$
 (3.24)

for all $x, y \in X$ and $n \in \mathbb{N}$ where λ, μ are non-negative reals with $\lambda + \mu < 1$, then T has a unique fixed point in X.

Proof. By putting $\alpha d(x, y) = \lambda$ and $\beta d(x, y) = \mu$ in Theorem (3.11), we get the required result.

4. Weakly Compatible Maps

In 1996, Jungck [10] introduced the concept of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 4.1. Two self-maps f and g are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at coincidence points.

Lemma 4.2 [11]. Let $T: X \to X$ be a function, then there exists a subset $E \subseteq X$ such that T(E) = T(X) and $T: E \to X$ is one to one.

Theorem 4.3. Let K and L be self-mappings of a complex valued metric space (X, d) such that $L(\alpha) \subseteq K(X)$ and K(X) is C-complete. If there exit mappings $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ satisfying (3.1), (3.2) and the following:

$$d(Lx, Ly) \preceq \lambda_{1}(Kx, Ky)d(Kx, Ky) + \lambda_{2}(Kx, Ky) \frac{d(Kx, Lx)d(Ky, Ly)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)} + \lambda_{3}(Kx, Ky) \frac{d(Kx, Ly)d(Ky, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)} + \lambda_{4}(Kx, Ky) \frac{d(Kx, Lx)d(Kx, Ly)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)} + \lambda_{5}(Kx, Ky) \frac{d(Ky, Ly)d(Ky, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)},$$

$$(4.1)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Then K and L have a unique fixed point of coincidence in X. Moreover, if K and L are compatible, then K and L have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Consider the mapping $K: X \to X$. By Lemma 4.2, there exists $E \subset X$ such that K(E) = K(X) and $K: E \to X$ is one to one.

Next we define a mapping $\Theta: K(E) \to K(E)$ by $\Theta(Kx) = Lx$, for all $Kx \in K(E)$. Therefore, Θ is well defined, since K is one to one on L. Since $\Theta \circ K = L$, using (4.3) we get

$$d(\Theta Kx, \Theta Ky) \lesssim \lambda_1(Kx, Ky)d(Kx, Ky) + \lambda_2(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, \Theta Kx)d(Ky, \Theta Ky)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$
$$+ \lambda_3(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, \Theta Ky)d(Ky, \Theta Kx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$
$$+ \lambda_4(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, \Theta Kx)d(Kx, \Theta Ky)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$

$$+ \lambda_5(Kx, Ky) \frac{d(Ky, \Theta Ky)d(Ky, \Theta Kx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$
(4.2)

for all Kx, $Ky \in K(E)$. Since $K(E) = K(\alpha)$ is C-complete and (4.2) holds, we can apply Corollary 3.3, with a mapping Θ . Therefore, there exists a unique fixed point u in K(X) such that $\Theta u = u$.

Next since $u \in K(X)$, there exists a point v in X such that u = Kv, $\Theta(Kv) = Kv$, that is Lv = Kv.

Therefore, K and L have a unique point of coincidence.

Next, we show that K and L have a common fixed point. Now, we have u = Lv = Kv. Since K and L are weakly compatible, we get Ku = KLv = Lv. This implies that, u is a point of coincidence of K and L.

Finally, we prove the uniqueness of common fixed point of K and L. Assume that, w is another common fixed point of K and L. So, w = Kw = Lw and w is also a point of coincidence of K and L.

However, we know that, u is a unique point of coincidence of K and L. Therefore, we get w = u, that is, u is a unique common fixed point of K and L.

5. Weakly Compatible and (CLR) Property

In 2011, Sintunavarat et al. [12], introduced the notion of (CLR) property as follows:

Definition 5.1. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy (CLR_f) property if there exists a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = fx,$$

for some $x \in X$.

In a similar mode, we use this property in complex valued metric space.

Theorem 5.2. Let K and L be self-mappings of a complex valued metric space (X, d) such that

- (a) K and L are weakly compatible;
- (b) K and L satisfy (CLR_f) property,

$$d(Lx, Ly) \preceq \lambda_{1}(Kx, Ky)d(Kx, Ky) + \lambda_{2}(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, Lx)d(Ky, Ly)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, Ly)d(Ky, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Kx, Ly)d(Ky, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(Kx, Ky)\frac{d(Ky, Ly)d(Ky, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx, Ky)}, \qquad (5.1)$$

where $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \lambda_5 : \mathbb{C}_+ \times \mathbb{C}_+ \to [0, 1)$ be the mappings satisfying (3.1). Then K and L have a unique common fixed point.

Proof. Since K and L satisfy the (CLR_f) property, there exists a sequence (x_n) in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Kx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Lx_n = Kx,$$

for $x \in X$.

We claim that

$$Kx = Lx$$
.

From (5.1), we have

$$d(Lx_{n}, Lx) \preceq \lambda_{1}(Kx_{n}, Kx)d(Kx_{n}, Kx) + \lambda_{2}(Kx_{n}, Kx)\frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lx_{n})d(Kx, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Kx)} + \lambda_{3}(Kx_{n}, Kx)\frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lx)d(Kx, Lx_{n})}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Kx)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(Kx, Kx) \frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lx)d(Kx_{n}, Lx)}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Kx)} + \lambda_{5}(Kx, Kx) \frac{d(Kx, Lx)d(Kx, Lx_{n})}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Kx)}.$$
(5.2)

Letting $L \to \infty$, we get $d(Kx, Lx) \preceq 0$, which implies that $|d(Kx, Lx)| \leq 0$, that is Kx = Lx.

Let u = Kx = Lx. Since K and L are weakly compatible mappings, KLx = LKx, implies that

$$Ku = KLu = LKu = Lu.$$

Now, we claim that, Lu = u. Let, if possible, $Lu \neq u$. From (5.1), we have

$$d(Lu, u) = d(Lu, Lx)$$

$$\preceq \lambda_{1}(Ku, Kx)d(Ku, Kx) + \lambda_{2}(Ku, Kx)\frac{d(Ku, Lu)d(Kx, Lx)}{1 + d(Ku, Kx)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(Ku, Kx)\frac{d(Ku, Lx)d(Kx, Lu)}{1 + d(Ku, Kx)} + \lambda_{4}(Ku, Kx)\frac{d(Ku, Lx)d(Ku, Lx)}{1 + d(Ku, Kx)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(Ku, Kx)\frac{d(Kx, Lx)d(Kx, Lu)}{1 + d(Ku, Kx)}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}(Lu, u)d(Lu, u) + \lambda_{3}(Lu, u)\frac{d(Lu, u)d(u, Lu)}{1 + d(Lu, u)}$$

$$\prec \lambda_{1}(Lu, u)d(Lu, u) + \lambda_{3}(Lu, u)d(Lu, u)$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(Lu, u)| \le [\lambda_1(Lu, u) + \lambda_3(Lu, u)] |d(Lu, u)|$$
, a contradiction.

Therefore Lu = u = Ku. So, u is the common fixed point of K and L.

For the uniqueness, let v be another common fixed point of K and L such that $v \neq u$.

From (5.1)

Hence u = v. Therefore, K and L have a unique common fixed point.

 $\Rightarrow |d(u, v)| \leq [\lambda_1(u, v) + \lambda_3(u, v)] |d(u, v)|$, a contradiction.

6. Weakly Compatible and E.A. Property

In 2002, Aamri et al. [13], introduced the notion of weakly compatible maps as follows:

Definition 6.1. Two self-mappings f and g of a metric space (X, d) are said to satisfy E.A. property if there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} fx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} gx_n = t,$$

for some $t \in X$.

Theorem 6.2. Let K and L be such mappings of a complex valued metric space (X, d), satisfying condition (b) of Theorem 5.2 and (5.1) and the following K and L satisfy the E.A. property

$$L(\alpha) \subseteq K(X)$$
. (6.1)

In the range of K or L is a C-complete subspace of X, then K and L have a

unique common fixed point in X.

Proof. Since K and L satisfy the E.A. property, there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that

$$\lim_{n\to\infty} Kx_n = \lim_{n\to\infty} Lx_n = u,$$

for some $u \in X$.

Since $L(X) \subseteq K(X)$, there exists a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that

$$Kx_n = Ky_n$$
.

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ky_n = u$.

Now, we shall show that

$$Ly_n = u$$
.

Now, let $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ly_n = t$.

From (5.1), we have

$$\begin{split} d(Lx_n,\,Ly_n) & \preceq \ \lambda_1(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) d(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) \\ & + \lambda_2(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) \frac{d(Kx_n,\,Lx_n) d(Ky_n,\,Ly_n)}{1 + d(Kx_n,\,Ky_n)} \\ & + \lambda_3(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) \frac{d(Kx_n,\,Ly_n) d(Ky_n,\,Lx_n)}{1 + d(Kx_n,\,Ky_n)} \\ & + \lambda_4(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) \frac{d(Kx_n,\,Ly_n) d(Ky_n,\,Lx_n)}{1 + d(Kx_n,\,Ky_n)} \\ & + \lambda_5(Kx_n,\,Ky_n) \frac{d(Ky_n,\,Ly_n) d(Ky_n,\,Lx_n)}{1 + d(Kx_n,\,Ky_n)} \,. \end{split}$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$d(u, t) \lesssim \lambda_1(u, u)d(u, u) + \lambda_2(u, u) \frac{d(u, u)d(u, t)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

$$+ \lambda_3(u, u) \frac{d(u, t)d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)} + \lambda_4(u, u) \frac{d(u, u)d(u, t)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

$$+ \lambda_5(u, u) \frac{d(u, t)d(u, u)}{1 + d(u, u)}$$

$$= 0$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(u, t)| \leq 0$$

$$\Rightarrow u = t.$$

Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} Ly_n = u$.

Now, suppose that K(X) is C-complete subspace of X. Then, there exists $u \in X$ such that

$$u = Ku$$
.

Subsequently, we have

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} Kx_n = \lim_{n \to \infty} Lx_n$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} Ky_n$$

$$= \lim_{n \to \infty} Ly_n$$

$$= u$$

$$= Ku.$$

Now, we will show that

$$Ku = Lu$$
.

From (5.1), we have

$$d(Lx_{n}, Lu) \preceq \lambda_{1}(Kx_{n}, Ku)d(Kx_{n}, Ku)$$

$$+ \lambda_{2}(Kx_{n}, Ku) \frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lx_{n})d(Ku, Lu)}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Ku)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(Kx_{n}, Ku) \frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lu)d(Ku, Lx_{n})}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Ku)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(Kx_{n}, Ku) \frac{d(Kx_{n}, Lu)d(Ku, Lx_{n})}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Ku)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(Kx_{n}, Ku) \frac{d(Ku, Lu)d(Ku, Lx_{n})}{1 + d(Kx_{n}, Ku)}.$$

Letting $n \to \infty$, we get

$$d(Ku, Lu) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow d(Ku, Lu) \le 0$$

$$\Rightarrow Ku = Lu = u.$$

Since, K and L be weakly compatible so, KLu = LKu

$$\Rightarrow KLu = LKu$$
$$= LLu$$
$$= LKu.$$

Now, we claim that Lu is the common fixed point of K and L.

Let, if possible, $Lu \neq LLu$.

From (5.1) we have

$$d(Lu, LLu) \preceq \lambda_1(Ku, KLu)d(Ku, KLu)$$

 $+ \lambda_2(Ku, KLu) \frac{d(Ku, Lu)d(KLu, LLu)}{1 + d(Ku, KLu)}$

$$+ \lambda_{3}(Ku, KLu) \frac{d(Ku, KLu)d(KLu, Lu)}{1 + d(Ku, KLu)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{4}(Ku, KLu) \frac{d(Ku, Lu)d(Ku, LLu)}{1 + d(Ku, KLu)}$$

$$+ \lambda_{5}(Ku, KLu) \frac{d(KLu, LLu)d(KLu, Lu)}{1 + d(Ku, KLu)}$$

$$= \lambda_{1}(Ku, KLu)d(Ku, KLu) + \lambda_{3}(Lu, LLu) \frac{d(Lu, LLu)d(LLu, Lu)}{1 + d(Lu, LLu)}$$

$$\leq |\lambda_{1}(Ku, KLu)| \leq |\lambda_{1}(Ku, KLu)| + |\lambda_{3}(Lu, LLu)| d(Ku, KLu)$$

$$\Rightarrow |d(Lu, LLu)| \leq |\lambda_{1}(Ku, KLu)| + |\lambda_{3}(Lu, LLu)| d(Lu, LLu)| d(Lu, LLu)|$$

which is a contradiction. Hence Lu = LLu = KLu.

Therefore, Lu is the common fixed point of K and L.

For the uniqueness, Let u and v be any two common fixed point of K and L such that $u \neq v$.

$$\Rightarrow |d(u, v)| \leq [\lambda_1(u, v) + \lambda_3(u, v)] |d(u, v)|,$$

which is a contradiction. Hence u = v.

Therefore, K and L have a unique common fixed point.

Acknowledgements

The author is very thankful for helpful suggestions and corrections made by the referees who reviewed this paper.

References

- [1] A. Azam, B. Fisher and M. Khan, Common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces, Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim. 32(3) (2011), 243-253.
- [2] S. Bhatt, S. Chaukiyal and R. C. Dimri, A common fixed point theorem for weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric spaces, Int. J. Math. Sci. Appl. 1(3) (2011), 1385-1389.
- [3] S. Chandok and D. Kumar, Some common fixed point results for rational type contraction mappings in complex valued metric spaces, Journal of Operators, Volume 2013, Article ID 813707, https://doi.org/10.1155/2013/813707.
- [4] F. Rouzkard and M. Imdad, Some common fixed point theorems on complex valued metric spaces, Comput. Math. Appl. 64(6) (2012), 1866-1874.
- [5] K. Sitthikul and S. Saejung, Some fixed point theorems in complex valued metric space, Fixed Point Theory Appl. 2012 (2012), 189.
- [6] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Generalized common fixed point theorems in complex valued metric spaces and applications, J. Inequal. Appl. 2012 (2012), 84.
- [7] W. Sintunavarat, J. C. Yeol and P. Kumam, Urysohn integral equations approach by common fixed points in complex-valued metric spaces, Adv. Differ. Equ. 2013 (2013), 49.
- [8] M. Kumar, P. Kumar, S. Kumar and S. Araci, Weakly compatible maps in complex valued metric spaces and an application to solve Urysohn integral equation, Filomat 30(10) (2016), 2695-2709. DOI: 10.2298/FIL1610695K.

- [9] A. K. Dubey, S. Bibay, R. P. Dubey and M. D. Pandey, Some fixed point theorems in C-complete complex valued metric spaces, Commun. Math. Appl. 9(4) (2018), 581-591.
- [10] G. Jungck, Common fixed points for non-continuous non-self-maps on non-metric spaces, Far East J. Math. Sci. 4 (1996), 199-215.
- [11] R. H. Haghi, Sh. Rezapour and N. Shahzad, Some fixed point generalization are not real generalization, Nonlinear Anal. 74(5) (2011), 1799-1803 DOI: 10.1016/j.na.2010.10.052.
- [12] W. Sintunavarat and P. Kumam, Common Fixed point theorems for a pair of weakly compatible mappings in fuzzy metric spaces, J. Appl. Math. Vol. 2011, Article ID 637958, https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/637958.
- [13] M. Aamri and D. El Moutawakil, Some new common fixed point theorems under strict contractive conditions, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 270 (2002), 181-188.